McDermott Will&Emery

DOCKET FILE COPY CHIGINAL

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf London Los Angeles Miami Munich New York Orange County Rome San Diego Silicon Valley Washington D C

Strategic alliance with MWE China Law Offices (Shanghai)

David D. Rines Associate drines@mwe.com 202.756.8099

May 8,2007

FILED/ACCEPTED
MAY - 8 2007

VIA MESSENGER

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary c/o Natek, Inc., Inc. 236 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Suite 110 Washington, DC 20002

Re: Arkansas Cable Telecommunications Ass'n, et al. v. Entergy Arkansas, Inc., EB Docket No. 06-53, EB-05-MD-004; Responses to Complainant's Document Requests

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and three copies of each of **two** (2) responses to Complainant's requests for the production of documents in the above referenced docket. In addition, we request that you date-stamp the additional copy of <u>each</u> response provided and return it with the messenger. These include:

- Entergy Arkansas, Inc.'s First Amended Responses to Complainant Arkansas Cable Telecommunications Association's Second Set of Document Requests
- Entergy Arkansas, Inc.'s Second Amended Responses to Complainant Arkansas Cable Telecommunications Association's First Set of Document Requests

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (202) 756-8099.

Very truly yours,

David D. Rines

Counsel for Entergy Arkansas, Inc.

No. of Copies rec'd 0 4 3

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FILED/ACCEPTED MAY - 8 2007

In the Matter of			Office of the Secretary
Arkansas Cable Telecommunications	}	EB Docket No. 06-53	or si, the observary
Association; Comcast of Arkansas, Inc.; Buford Communications I, L.P. d/b/a Alliance Communications Network;	}		
WEHCO Video, Inc.; TCA Cable Partners d/b/a Cox Communications, and Cebridge Acquisition, L.P, d/b/a)	EB-05-MD-004	
Suddenlink Communications)		
Complainants,)		
V.)		
Entergy Arkansas, Inc.,)		
Respondent.)		
To: Office of the Secretary			

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.'S SECOND AMENDED RESPONSES TO COMPLAINANT ARKANSAS CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION'S **FIRST SET OF DOCUMENT REQUESTS**

Attn: The Honorable Arthur I. Steinberg Administrative Law Judge

Entergy Arkansas, Inc. ("EAI"), for its second amended responses to complainant Arkansas Cable Telecommunications Association's ("ACTA") first set of document requests, states as follows:

No. of Copies rec'd O 4 3 List ABCDE

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

EAI's responses are subject to, qualified by, and limited by the following General Objections which apply to each specific document request as if incorporated and set out in full in response to each.

- 1. **EAI** generally objects to each document request to the extent it requires **EAI** to provide information not within its possession, custody, or control.
- 2. **EAI** generally objects to any document request that calls for information not within its present knowledge or which seeks to require **EAI** to offer a narrative of its case.
- 3. EAI generally objects to the document requests to the extent that they are unreasonably cumulative or duplicative and to the extent that the information requested is already within the possession of Complainants or is otherwise obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive.
- 4. **EAI** generally objects to the document requests to the extent that they seek discovery of information that is not relevant to any claim or defense raised by Complainants or **EAI** and/or where the burden or expense of the proposed discovery would outweigh any benefit to **ACTA** of the discovery.
- 5. EAI generally objects to the document requests to the extent that they seek discovery of pure legal conclusions or contentions without any application to specific facts. Further, to the extent that any document request seeks discovery of EAI's legal contentions in relation to specific facts, EAI objects to the document request as being premature.
- 6. **EAI** generally objects to **ACTA's** document requests to the extent that they seek information or production of documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work

product doctrine, the party communication privilege, or any other legally recognized privilege, immunity, or doctrine.

- 7. EAI generally objects to ACTAs' document requests to the extent that they seek information or documents protected from disclosure by a third party confidentiality agreement, statute, regulation, administrative order, or case law.
- 8. EAI generally objects to ACTA's document requests insofar as they seek confidential and/or proprietary information. EAI will respond or produce documents or other materials which contain confidential and/or proprietary information subject to the entry of a protective order governing use of such documents and information by the Administrative Law Judge.
- **9.** EAI generally objects to any instruction, definition, interrogatory, or request to the extent it attempts to impose obligations on EAI greater than those established by the rules of the Federal Communications Commission, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.311 through 1.325.
- 10. EAI submits these responses and will respond to ACTA's document requests without conceding the relevancy or materiality of the subject matter of any interrogatory or request or document, and without prejudice to EAI's right to object to further discovery, or to object to the admissibility of any additional proof on the subject matter of any document or response, at the time of the formal hearing of this proceeding before the Administrative Law Judge. EAI reserves the right to supplement any response herein at any time and in accordance with the Administrative Law Judge's order issued April 20,2006, FCC 06M-09.
- 11. EAI's responses below that it will produce certain documents in response to document requests should be taken not as representations that such documents exist but as an

undertaking to locate and produce relevant, non-privileged documents, if they exist and can be found.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND SECOND AMENDED RESPONSES TO REQUESTS

1: Identify and produce all documents relied upon, referred to or used in any way to respond to Complainant ACTA's First Set of Interrogatories submitted to Entergy on June 20, 2006 in this matter.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See EA1010005136 through EAI010005295. Responding further, see the documents produced in response to Request Nos. 2 through 21. See also EAI010010338 through EAI010010339 which are being provided separately to counsel for the complainants.

2: Identify and produce all documents related to any change in or transfer of pole ownership either from another pole owner to Entergy, or from Entergy to another pole owner in Arkansas. For the purposes of this request, a change in or transfer of pole ownership includes (but is not limited to) circumstances where a pole owned by one party is removed, relocated or transferred and then replaced with a pole owned by another party. Responsive documents should include, but not be limited to, documents showing the dates and locations of such ownership changes.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above

general and specific objections, EAI responds that it has produced responsive documents for the periods 2000 through the present. **See** EAI010005296 through EAI010005342.

3: Identify and produce all contracts, agreements, correspondence, memoranda and other documents related to the apportionment or allocation of costs of audits, surveys or inspections to cable operators and/or other attachers. Responsive documents should include those related to USS and any other agents, representatives, contractors or other persons identified in Complainant ACTA's First Set of Interrogatories, Interrogatory No. 13.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See EA1010005343 through EAI010005713. Responding further, see response to Request No. 17. See also EA1010010340 through EA1010010366 which are being provided separately to counsel for the complainants.

4: Identify and produce all contracts or agreements between Entergy and USS (including any of USS' affiliates, subsidiaries, parent companies, employees, owners or partners) for any work in Arkansas.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: **See** EA1010005714 through EA1010005728 and EA1010006487 through EAI010006562.

5: Identify and produce all documents explaining, establishing or otherwise related to the scope of work USS performs for Entergy in Arkansas. Responsive document should include, but

not be limited to those related to services USS performs for Entergy that were not part of the parties' original contracts or agreements.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See response to Request No. 4.

6: Identify and produce all correspondence, memoranda and other documents related to any request for proposal or bid for audit, survey and inspection of Entergy's poles in Arkansas since January 1,2001. Responsive documents should also include (but not be limited to) documents related to any responses to any such request for proposal or bid.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See EA1010005730 through EAI010005770.

7: Identify and produce all correspondence, memoranda and other documents related to Entergy's consideration of USS' services and Entergy's decision to hire USS.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: EAI has conducted a good faith search for documents responsive to this request but has not identified any such documents in its possession.

8: Identify and produce all marketing materials Entergy received (whether solicited or unsolicited) related to USS' services. Responsive documents should include, but not be limited to, correspondence and e-mail pitching USS' services, whether they are in the form of general marketing materials or those tailored or targeted specifically to Entergy.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See EA1010008286 through EAI010008322.

9: Identify and produce all documents related to compensation to be paid by Entergy to USS. Responsive documents should include, but not be limited to, information concerning financial incentives or rewards, bonuses, flat rate charges, hourly charges and any other monetary or in-kind compensation.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See EA1010006900 through EAI010008285. Responding further, see response to Request No. 4.

10: Identify and produce all documents showing requests for payment USS has submitted to Entergy, including, but not limited to, amounts Entergy has already paid as well as amounts Entergy currently owes to USS. Responsive documents should include, but not be limited to, paid and unpaid invoices or billing statements.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly

broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See EA1010006900 through EA1010008285. See also EA1010010367 through EA1010010369 which are being provided separately to counsel for the complainants.

11: Identify and produce all contracts, agreements, correspondence, memoranda and other documents related to the standards USS and Entergy use to identify, evaluate and/or cite any kind engineering or safety code violations or other non-compliant conditions on Entergy's poles. Responsive documents should include, but not be limited to, those applicable to all attachments, including Entergy's.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See EA1010005772 through EA1010005806 and EA1010006563 through EAI010006569. Responding further, see the pole attachment agreements attached to complainants' complaint as Exhibits 2A, 2B, 2C, and 2D. Responding further, see the requirements of the NESC which are equally available to ACTA. See also EA1010010370 through EA1010010408 which are being provided separately to counsel for the complainants.

12: Identify and produce all correspondence, memoranda and other documents explaining, establishing or otherwise related to the standards USS and Entergy use to allocate responsibility for safety violations or other non-compliant conditions. Responsive documents should include those applicable to all attachments, including Entergy's.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See response *to* Request No. 11. See also EA1010010409 which is being provided separately to counsel for the complainants.

13: Identify and produce all correspondence, memoranda and other documents related to USS and Entergy quality control with respect to aerial plant and pole inspections. Responsive documents should include standards USS used to verify quality control of their own work as well as the standards Entergy uses to verify quality control of USS' work. These standards should include standards applicable to all attachments, including Entergy's.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See EA1010005807 through EA1010006440 and EA1010006570 through EAI010006899. Responding further, see response to Request No. 9. **See** also EA1010010410 which is being provided separately to counsel for the complainants.

14: Identify and produce all notes, whether taken by Entergy personnel, representatives or agents, or other parties, from all meetings with USS, including, but not limited to, informal marketing or information sessions, meetings, conferences or telephone calls.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above

general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See EA1010006441 through EAI010006463.

15: Identify and produce correspondence, memoranda and other documents related to Entergy's engagement of Wil Arnett and/or USS to conduct surveys, audits or inspections, including but not limited to, notes, marketing materials, contracts, agreements, payment terms, invoices, scope of work and any standards for identification of violations or other non-compliant conditions and assessment of costs to either Entergy or other attaching parties.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See response to Request Nos. 3 through 13.

16: Identify and produce all records and/or documentation of prior surveys, audits or inspections identified in Complainant ACTA's First Set of Interrogatories, Interrogatory No. 13.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds that it is producing responsive documents of surveys, audits, and inspections of CATV attachments for the periods 2001 to present. See EA102000001 through EA1020210639 and EAI010005597 through EAI010005698. Responding further, inventory maps for 2001 to present will be made available for inspection at the locations where such maps are regularly maintained at a mutually agreed time. See also EA1010010411 through EAI010010459 which are being provided separately to counsel for the complainants.

17: Identify and produce all pole attachment agreements between Entergy and/or its predecessors and all communications attachers. Responsive documents should include, but not be limited to joint-use agreements with Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers. (It is not necessary to include copies of agreements that were attached to the February 18,2005 Complaint.)

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and seeks confidential commercial information. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: Responsive documents relating to EAI, if any, will be made available for inspection in Little Rock, Arkansas upon execution and entry of an appropriate protective order. See also EA1010010460 through EA1010010493 which are being provided separately to counsel for the complainants.

18: Identify and produce all correspondence, memoranda and other documents related in any way to Entergy's response to damage to its or other attachers' plant or facilities resulting from the ice storms of 2000 and 2001 referenced in the February 18,2005 Complaint and April 19,2005 Response. Please do not produce documents that Entergy attached to the April 19,2005 Response.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: Documents responsive to this request are part of the public record in Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 01-084-U, In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc, for Approval of Storm Recovery Rider

(Rider SR) and are as easily accessible by ACTA as by EAI.

19: Identify and produce all policies, plans, manuals, correspondence, memoranda and other documents related to Entergy's installation, maintenance, upgrading and inspection of its electric plant or facilities and other attachers' plant or facilities.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and seeks confidential commercial information. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See response to Request No. 11 and Request No. 17. See also EAI010010494 through EAI010010751 which are being provided separately to counsel for the complainants.

20: Identify and produce all correspondence, memoranda and other documents related in any way to Complainants' alleged responsibility for damage to Entergy's electric plant or facilities. Please do not produce documents Entergy attached to the April 19,2005 Response.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See EAI010000001 through EAI010006486. See also EA1010010752 through EA1010010761 which are being provided separately to counsel for the complainants.

21: Identify and produce all correspondence, memoranda and other documents used or otherwise relied upon in preparing Entergy's April 19,2005 Response filed in this action.

RESPONSE: Objection. EAI objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and requests information neither relevant nor reasonably calculated

to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the above general and specific objections, EAI responds as follows: See EAI010000001 through EAI010005135. Responding further, see responses and all amended responses to Request Nos. 1 through 20.

Respectfully submitted,

Shirley S. Fujimoto David D. Rines

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

600 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3096

T: 202.756.8000 F: 202.756.8087

Gordon S. Rather, Jr.
Stephen R. Lancaster
Michelle M. Kaemmerling
WRIGHT, LINDSEY & JENNINGS LLP
200 West Capitol Avenue, Suite 2300
Little Rock, AR 72201-3699
T: 501 371 0808

T: 501.371.0808 F: 501.376.9442

Wm. Webster Darling Janan Honeysuckle Entergy Services, Inc. 425 West Capitol Avenue 27th Floor Little Rock, AR 72201

T: 501.377.5838 F: 501.377.5814

Attorneysfor Entergy Arkansas, Inc

Dated: May 8,2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David D. Rines, do hereby certify that on this day of May, 2007, a single copy (unless otherwise noted) of the foregoing "Second Amended Response to Complainant Arkansas Cable Telecommunications Association's First Set of Document Requests" was delivered to the following by the method indicated:

Marlene H. Dortch (hand delivery) (**ORIGINAL PLUS 3 COPIES**) Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325 Washington, D.C. 20554

Hon. Arthur I. Steinberg (overnight delivery, fax, e-mail) Administrative Law Judge Office of the Administrative Law Judge Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554 Fax: (202) 418-0195

John Davidson Thomas (hand-delivery, e-mail)
Paul Werner, III
Sharese M. Pryor
Dominic F. Perella
Hogan & Hartson LLP
Columbia Square
555 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

Kris Monteith, Bureau Chief (overnight delivery, e-mail)
Alex Starr
Lisa Saks
Michael Engel
Federal Communications Commission
Enforcement Bureau
Market Dispute Resolutions Division
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Best Copy and Printing, Inc. (U.S. Mail) Federal Communications Commission Room CY-B402 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

David 6.Rines